



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/423,712	08/25/2000	Peter Nawroth	8484-075-999 7074			
21839	7590 06/03/2004	590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER		
	OANE SWECKER & MA	LI, QIAN JANICE				
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1632			
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## \$ ₹4

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/423,712	NAWROTH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Q. Janice Li	1632		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a Examination (RCE) in comp	a timely filed Notice of Appeal (v liance with 37 CFR 1.114.	with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ly filed Request for Continued
	PERIOD FOR REP	LY [check either a) or b)]	
	pires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date o		
no event, however, will	ires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv the statutory period for reply expire late OX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	
Extensions of time may be ob- fee have been filed is the date for p fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calcula (2) as set forth in (b) above, if chec	ourposes of determining the period of e	extension and the corresponding amore shortened statutory period for reply later than three months after the ma	FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension roriginally set in the final Office action; or iling date of the final rejection, even if
	as filed on Appellant's B any extension thereof (37 CFR <sup>2</sup>		
2. The proposed amend	ment(s) will not be entered bec	ause:	
(a) X they raise new is	sues that would require further	consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the iss	ue of new matter (see Note bel	ow);	
(c) they are not deer issues for appeal		petter form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present add	litional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Con	tinuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has	overcome the following rejection	n(s):	
4. Newly proposed or an canceling the non-allo		e allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
	☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for re on for allowance because: <u>See (</u>		idered but does NOT place the
<del></del>	t will NOT be considered becau er in the final rejection.	se it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
	al, the proposed amendment(s le new or amended claims wou		
The status of the clain	n(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:	·		
Claim(s) objected to:	wast-basic-trainer.		
Claim(s) rejected: 7-1	7,20,21,23-28 and 30-35.		
Claim(s) withdrawn fr	om consideration: 18 and 19.		
8. The drawing correction	n filed on is a)☐ appro	ved or b)  disapproved by t	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(	s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•
10. Other:			Sansier
			JANICE LI PATENT EXAMINER
			& In

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No. 009/423,712

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment would raise new issues under 35 U.S.C. 1st and 2nd paragraphs, which would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are drawn to amended claims, which have not been entered, thus, are moot.